Sacramento City College  
Matriculation Committee Meeting  
November 11, 2008  
Minutes  

Present: Lucy Fasman, Catherine Fites, Arthur Hernandez, Irina Marsant, David Rasul, Farough Tabrizi, Jean Vrechek, Jean Vrechek, Sherri Goldberg, Tanya Anderson, Catherine Fites

Next Meeting: December 9, 2008

1. MIS – Sherri Goldberg – The committee was informed that the Fall 2007 State MIS data has been reviewed for each of the colleges and noted that the counts are going up for Advising SEP and follow-up as well as Orientation and Assessment at selected colleges. The DO also requested that the Matric Committee members review the “Information Goal reporting of SM01” and respond with our thoughts and decisions. After a brief discussion with number ii. (Take ed goal from supplemental and link it to the Group code “CD” then update SM01 [in compliance with MIS reporting]. Decide what default code to use for students without SEP – M=undecided on goal, x=uncollected, or y=not applicable) it was suggested that Sherri discuss this with the district and get back to us.

Information according to Jan Paulson include

• When the reports are created for the State MIS report, DO IT would pull the CB (completed full Ed Plan w/counselor) data from SARS GRID and compare it to the Ed Goal data from the Supplement. Only students who appear on both lists would be counted for the SM01 data element.
• The assumption is that the numbers reported for students who have an “informed Ed Goal” would drop due to this new process. Jan will request a practice run of this report this week to get a better idea of what this will do to the numbers.
• Not sure what would happen to students with “undecided” goal on supplement but who have CB in SARS. Counselors would need to impress on students that it is important to change their Ed Goal to match their Ed Plan.
• It isn’t really practical to make the Ed Goal change for students without their knowledge because they could change it back to “undecided” when they complete their next supplement.

My suggestion is that we wait to see the results of the practice run report at our next meeting December 9 before we vote.

Additional issue for next month’s agenda: meet with ARC rep regarding their online orientation (David), update on other possible vendors (Sherri and Parrish).

2. Online Orientation – It was agreed by the Matric committee members to view at least one or two more “Online Orientations” before considering the purchase of any software product. Parrish discussed the various online orientation “packages” that he viewed when at a conference in Chicago. He will research another online orientation program for our viewing.